

San Francisco Bay Delta Ecosystem Changes & the Low Salinity Zone



Merced River, Yosemite National Park

California Department of Fish & Game




Workshop 1: Ecosystem Changes and the Low
Salinity Zone

September 5, 2012

KEY POINTS


- Delta smelt and longfin smelt are at risk
- ↑ impairment of Delta outflow threatens longfin smelt viability
- ↓ size/quality of fall LSZ habitat threatens delta smelt viability
- LSZ and key species respond positively to Delta outflow
- Bay-Delta Plan insufficiently protective of smelt species
- 2011 exhibits the potential of improved Plan objectives



SMELT SPECIES ARE AT RISK: Listing Actions Since 2006

- Jan. 2010: Delta smelt threatened → endangered (CESA)
- Apr. 2010: Delta smelt warrant endangered status (ESA)
- Apr. 2010: Longfin smelt listed as threatened (CESA)
- Apr. 2012: Longfin smelt Bay-Delta DPS warrants listing (ESA)

SMELT SPECIES ARE AT RISK: Longfin Smelt Status




Current (2006) Bay-Delta Plan Insufficiently Protective of Longfin Smelt

- Longfin smelt respond strongly/positively to winter-spring outflow
- Spring outflow increasingly impaired
- Outflow impairment limits longfin smelt (and prey) production
- Bay-Delta Plan objectives allow for very high levels of outflow impairment
- Longfin smelt cohort-over-cohort increases are rare, thus population levels are fluctuating at very low levels
- Modest flow response in 2011

SMELT SPECIES ARE AT RISK:

Delta Smelt Status


Fall Midwater Trawl



Good Fall Habitat Needed for Delta Smelt Viability


- Delta smelt live primarily within the LSZ
- Fall indices recently ≈10% of previous 30 years
- Increased frequency of record low indices
- Fall LSZ position influences cohort survival
- Striking detrimental trends are evident in fall X2 position, thus LSZ extent and condition.
- Bay-Delta Plan fall outflow objectives do not guard against high fall X2, irrespective of water year type
- Encouraging “bump” in fall 2011 abundance index

Recent History of Fall X2



Adapted from F. Feyrer 9/7/11 testimony during litigation of 2008 BO RPA

Delta Smelt Recruitment in Relation to Fall X2



Recruitment Progression (FMWT → 20mm → FMWT)


2009-2011: 17 → 3.8 → 29

29 → 8.0 → 343

2005-2006: 26 → 9.9 → 41

2011 Smelt Flow Response

Longfin Smelt Indices From 1967-2011




Longfin Smelt Recruitment Ratios
(FMWT/FMW_{T-2yrs})

2006 2010 2011
10.2 1.3 7.3

Fall Midwater Trawl

Delta Smelt
Recruitment Ratios
(FMWT/20mm)

2006 2010 2011
4.1 7.6 42.9



Sources of “Additional” Information

Available

- 2010-2012 ESA/CESA Listing Documents
- 2011 and early 2012 IEP indices and survey data
- USBR fall outflow Adaptive Management Plan, and independent science review
- Various other independent science reviews

Pending

- FLaSH Study Results
- BDCP Effects Analysis, Conservation Measures



KEY POINTS

- Delta smelt and longfin smelt are at risk
- ↑ impairment of Delta outflow threatens longfin smelt viability
- ↓ size/quality of fall LSZ habitat threatens delta smelt viability
- LSZ and key species respond positively to Delta outflow
- Bay-Delta Plan insufficiently protective of smelt species
- 2011 exhibits the potential of improved Plan objectives


WHAT'S NEXT

Adaptive Management

- Why (complex adaptive system)
- Adaptive Management model is well vetted
- Where we are (conceptually) in this process
- What we need out of WQCP


Climate Change

- Stages of response




John Shelton

Complex Systems



Ecosystem State

Conditions



Conditions



The arrows indicate the direction of change when the system is out of equilibrium.

Ecological Systems tend to be Non-linear

This Non-linearity can be pronounced

Modified from: Scheffer, M., Brock, W. & Westley, F. 2000. Socioeconomic mechanisms preventing optimum use of ecosystem services: an interdisciplinary theoretical analysis. *Ecosystems* 3, 451-471

Critical Transitions - Hysteresis



Hysteresis occurs when there is a fold of the “equilibrium line” that results in:

- Different “paths” of change
- Tipping point characteristics

Further folding of the equilibrium line can lead to irreversible system dynamics

Modified from: Scheffer, M., Brock, W. & Westley, F. 2000. Socioeconomic mechanisms preventing optimum use of ecosystem services: an interdisciplinary theoretical analysis. *Ecosystems* 3, 451-471

Complex Adaptive Systems

Nonlinear Interactions

- Multiple individual parts undergoing simultaneous nonlinear interactions
- Emergent behavior is more than the sum of the parts

Aggregate Behavior

- Impact of the system is its aggregate behavior
- Aggregate behavior feeds back to the parts and modifies their behavior

Change


- Interaction of the parts evolves over time
- Parts may face perpetual novelty
- Typically operate far from optimum and far from equilibrium

Anticipation

- Individual parts anticipate the consequences of their responses
- Aggregate anticipation affects the system's behavior
- Is the least understood property of such systems

Modified from: Holland, J. H. 1975. *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems: An Introductory Analysis with Applications to Biology, Control, and Artificial Intelligence*, USA: MIT Press


Adaptive Management



The Delta Independent Science Board noted that the Delta Plan provides:

- ✓ an excellent description of adaptive management
- ✓ Represents an effective synthesis of the existing literature
- ✓ Is presented in a manner that is instructive

From: Delta Stewardship Council. 2012. Final Staff Draft of the Delta Plan. Available online: <http://delta.council.ca.gov/delta-plan>. Accessed 8/10/12.



Short term


Mid term

Long term

From: Pahl-Wostl C. 2009. A conceptual framework for analyzing adaptive capacity and multi-level learning processes in resource governance regimes. *Glob Environ Change* 19:354–365.

Scope and Scale of Adaptation to Climate Change

Time & Effort typically



Short term

Mid term

Long term

Modified from: Moser SC, Ekstrom JA. 2010. A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:22026–22031.



Summary

■ Adaptive Management


- Delta is a Complex Adaptive System
- Requires Adaptive Management
- Adaptive Management Model is well accepted
- Delta Ecosystem Management
 - “Transformed” by Delta Reform Act & Co-Equal Goals
 - Current Processes need to “Re-Frame”
 - Need clear objectives to have effective “Policy Cycle”

■ Climate Change

- Response related to Time Horizon

SWRCB San Francisco Estuary low-salinity zone workshop

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
September 5, 2012




Key points

1. Please see April 2012 key points
2. We suggest the Board model a range of flow objectives that could be incorporated into the WQCP
3. OMR flows contribute to the entrainment risk of adult delta smelt
4. OMR flows drive entrainment of larval delta smelt
5. Multiple factors have contributed to the long-term degredation of the LSZ. However, Delta outflow is still an extremely important aspect of habitat suitability for delta smelt

A black and white photograph of a fish, possibly a smelt, leaping out of water. The fish is positioned above a dark, horizontal barrier. Below the barrier, several wavy, light-colored lines suggest water or current. The background is a textured gray.

NEW SCIENCE REGARDING ADULT DELTA SMELT ENTRAINMENT

High adult delta smelt salvage usually happens when OMR flows are negative and turbidity is high



Source: Declaration of Dr. Richard Deriso

Time scale affects OMR-salvage linkage: a direction for adaptive management

Time step (days)	Starting OMR (cfs)		Turbidity threshold (NTU)		Alternative OMR
1	-3000	Until	13	Then	-1900
7	-5200	Until	23	Then	-1900
14	-3300	Until	25	Then	-2500
24	-4600	Until	29	Then	-3600
28-31	-4200	Until	No threshold	Then	-4200

Source: USFWS unpublished data analysis



NEW SCIENCE REGARDING LARVAL DELTA SMELT ENTRAINMENT

Modeling and data agree on driver of larval delta smelt entrainment





Figure 16. Larval/juvenile delta smelt. Predicted percent loss to the population by regression using log of Delta inflow and log of export flow as predictors (with interaction), and particle-tracking model results as the dependent variable (circles), with the line indicating a 1:1 relationship. The regression is:

OMR flow drives larval delta smelt entrainment



Source: USFWS unpublished data analysis

Entrainment can contribute to delta smelt decline






Figure 3 Results of simulation of ability to detect export loss through regression analysis. Upper panel: individual simulation results giving the slope (thick blue line) and 95% confidence limits (thin red lines) for regressions of the stock-recruit index on southward OMR flow. Lower panel: trajectory of the fall midwater trawl index (upper line) and the same index with a 20% P_{\max} value imposed for the entire time series (mean $P_L \sim 10\%$). This is for illustration only (see text), and does not imply anything about the cause of the decline in delta smelt.

Source:
Kimmerer
(2011) San
Francisco
Estuary and
Watershed
Science




NEW SCIENCE REGARDING DELTA SMELT REARING HABITAT


Time series of summer-fall Delta inflow



Time series of summer-fall exports



Time series of summer-fall E:I ratios



Feyrer et al. (2011)

- Fall habitat suitability has declined
- Fall habitat suitability is closely, but nonlinearly related to X2
- Fall habitat suitability correlated with abundance




Fig. 2 Plots of the habitat index time series (a), relationship between $X2$ (km) and the habitat index (b), and relationship between the habitat index and delta smelt abundance measured as the fall midwater trawl index. Curves are LOESS smooths

Key points

1. Please see April 2012 key points
2. We suggest the Board model a range of flow objectives that could be incorporated into the WQCP
3. OMR flows contribute to the entrainment risk of adult delta smelt
4. OMR flows drive entrainment of larval delta smelt
5. Multiple factors have contributed to the long-term degredation of the LSZ. However, Delta outflow is still an extremely important aspect of habitat suitability for delta smelt

SWRCB San Francisco Estuary low-salinity zone workshop

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



September 5, 2012


Outline

- Clean Water Act
- New information
- Bay-Delta Basin Plan



Clean Water Act





Water Quality Standards



New Information
EPA SF Bay Delta Action Plan

www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta/actionplan

Aquatic life beneficial uses are not adequately protected



Source: DFG 2008 Fall MW Trawl -- No sampling in 1970 and 1979




EPA SF Bay Delta Action Plan

- First Priority: Update flow standards
- Other priorities: Delta RMP, TMDL, Selenium objectives, pesticide pollution prevention, MeHg, & BDCP
- Flow standards are essential for success of other efforts



New Information Evaluation & Support for X2




National Research Council (2012)

- SF Bay and Delta estuarine species are more abundant when the LSZ is further downstream.
- X2 continues to be a valid foundation for WQS in the SF Bay Delta estuary.


3D LSZ Modeling & X2

$X2 \approx 65$


Daily-average Depth-averaged Salinity



LSZ = 7703 ha
1-2 PSU = 4107 ha




Location of LSZ



$$X2 \approx 85$$


Daily-average Depth-averaged Salinity



LSZ = 4262 ha
1-2 PSU = 1587 ha



Daily-average Depth-averaged Salinity



Low Salinity Zone


- $65 \text{ km} \leq X_2 \leq 74 \text{ km}$
 - Access to food and turbidity are maximized
 - Surface area is maximized
- $X_2 > 85 \text{ km}$
 - Access to food and turbidity are limited
 - Surface area is minimized




New Information


LSZ is important year-round

Spring



Fall





Longfin Smelt

Highest since 2006




Figure 4. Longfin smelt FMWWT annual abundance indices, 1967-2011.

Delta Smelt

Highest since 2001





Figure 2. Delta smelt FMWWT annual abundance indices, 1967-2011.




Low Salinity Zone in the Fall.

(September-October)



Daily-average Depth-averaged Salinity




LSZ = 9139 ha
1-2 PSU = 2227 ha

X2 ≈ 75



Daily-average Depth-averaged Salinity

$$X_2 \approx 84$$



Changes to Bay-Delta Plan

Start with existing recommendations

Table 20. Delta Outflow Summary Criteria

Delta Outflows											
Category A											
Water Year											Criteria
O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S
1) Net Delta Outflows: 75% of 14-day average unimpaired flow											
Category B											
Water Year											Criteria
O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S
2) Fall X2											
a. Wet years: X2 less than 74 km (greater than approximately 12,400 cfs)											
b. Above normal years: X2 less than 81 km (greater than approximately 7,100 cfs)											
3) Net Delta Outflows: 2006 Bay-Delta Plan Delta Outflow Objectives - applies during critical, dry, and below normal years											
Basis for Criteria and Explanation											
1) Promote increased abundance and improved productivity (positive population growth) for longfin smelt and other desirable estuarine species											
2) Increase quantity and quality of habitat for delta smelt; fall X2 requirement limited to above normal and wet years to reduce potential conflicts with cold water pool storage, while promoting variability with respect to fall flows and habitat conditions in above normal and wet water year types; expected to result in improved conditions for delta smelt, however, the statistical relationship between fall X2 and abundance is not strong; note 2) above regarding need for improved understanding concerning the fall X2 action also applies											
3) Fish and wildlife beneficial use protection											





Connect Flow to Essential Habitat Elements

Net Delta Outflow at
65 % Unimpaired flow



Net Delta Outflow at
35 % Unimpaired flow





EPA Summary

- Aquatic life beneficial uses are not adequately protected.
- X2-abundance relationships have overwhelming scientific support.
- Resident LSZ species require year-round habitat.
- Connect percent unimpaired flows to habitat elements.
- The Bay-Delta Plan update needs to provide better protection for aquatic habitat.